
From: Wu, Jennifer
To: Powers, David; allison.castellan@noaa.gov
CC: Croxton, Dave; Henning, Alan; Rueda, Helen; Leinenbach, Peter
Sent: 3/4/2013 5:53:44 PM
Subject: RE: Final briefing paper for Dan and Christine; call-in number **Non-Responsive**
Attachments: OR CZARA-brief Dan and Christine 3 4 13 final v2.docx

Hi Everyone – Here's the real last version with Dave P's and Allison's included. Talk with you at 4pm!

From: Powers, David
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2013 2:04 PM
To: Wu, Jennifer; allison.castellan@noaa.gov
Cc: Croxton.Dave@epa.gov; Henning, Alan; Rueda, Helen; Leinenbach, Peter
Subject: RE: Final briefing paper for Dan and Christine; call-in number **Non-Responsive**

I didn't read Allison's edits yet. Mine are attached above.

From: Wu, Jennifer
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2013 1:56 PM
To: allison.castellan@noaa.gov
Cc: Powers, David; Croxton.Dave@epa.gov; Henning, Alan; Rueda, Helen; Leinenbach, Peter
Subject: Final briefing paper for Dan and Christine; call-in number **Non-Responsive**

Thanks for the edits and comments, Allison. I deleted the redundant sections and deleted the bottom section (I did mean NWEA, not NOAA). The call-in number is **Non-Responsive**

I'll bring copies of this to the meeting with Dan and Christine for them to look at, too. I also had a chance to talk more with Dave C to get him up to speed on the first part of our call.

I have calls from 2-4pm, so will talk with most of you at 4PM. - Jenny

From: Allison Castellan - NOAA Federal [<mailto:allison.castellan@noaa.gov>]
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2013 1:13 PM
To: Wu, Jennifer
Cc: Powers, David; Croxton.Dave@epa.gov; Henning, Alan; Rueda, Helen; Leinenbach, Peter
Subject: Re: Briefing with Dan and Christine - changes

Hi Jenny--

My edits attached--primarily to clarify the consequences of disapproval. Its important to note that loss of federal consistency authority and deep water ports (as well as full CZMA funding) would only occur if Oregon choose's to pull out of the National CZM Program. Disapproving their Coastal Nonpoint Program would not trigger this, only the penalty provision. **Ex. 5 - Deliberative**

Ex. 5 - Deliberative However, that would be the state's call and perhaps the benefit of federal consistency authority and deep water ports and some 70% of current CZM funding would be enough to keep them in **Ex. 5 - Deliberative**

Allison

On Mon, Mar 4, 2013 at 2:27 PM, Wu, Jennifer <Wu.Jennifer@epa.gov> wrote:

Hi Everyone – I changed some bullet points and put them on the top in track changes. Feel free to edit. Allison and Dave, thanks for the information on the Deepwater Port Authority! I kept it generic in this write-up, but it would be good for us to elaborate before the state meeting. If you have changes, please send to me by 2pm, and I'll send out the last draft. (Sorry for the short turnaround time.)

--

$$\sim\sim \langle \rangle \langle \sim\sim \rangle \langle \rangle \sim\sim \langle \rangle \langle \sim\sim \rangle \langle \rangle \sim\sim$$

ED_454-000251598

EPA_016569